(स्रोत: "एशियन स्ट्रेटेजिक रिव्यू 1995-96" रक्षा अध्ययन और विश्लेषण संस्थान)

तथापि, विभिन्न देशों द्वारा रक्षा खर्च के वर्गीकरण और कोटिकरण के वास्ते मानदंडों में अंतर होने के कारण ऐसी तुलना में अंतर्निहित कमी हैं। रक्षा बजट रक्षा प्रयासों के वास्तविक स्तर को नहीं दर्शा सकता है और यह अन्य राष्ट्रों के साथ समान से समान आधार पर तुलना के लिए आधार भी प्रदान नहीं कर सकता हैं। उदाहरण के लिए, कुछ देश पूंजीगत कार्यों एवं रक्षा से जुड़े उद्योगों पर हुए खर्च को रक्षा मंत्रालय कां छोड़कर, अन्य मंत्रलायों/ विभागों के बजटीय आवंटनों के तहत दिखाते हैं। इस तरह के सांख्यिकीय मानदंड युद्व की विशेषता के या सही मायनों में सशस्त्र सेनाओं की रक्षा तैयारी का आवश्यक रूप से सही मानदंड नहीं हैं।

Purchase of Russian built submarines

1596. SHRI VEDPRAKASH P. GOYAL: Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news-item captioned 'Chinks in the nation's armour' published in the Pioneer dated 12th May, 1997;

(b) if so, what are the reasons that Government purchased eight seriously impaired Russian Built EKM submarines for over Rs. 800 crores inspite of objections from a number of Naval Experts; and

(c) what are the details in this regard and what is Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI N.V.N. SOMU): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The Indian Navy has effectively exploited the submarines of this type since 1986. These EKM submarines are known to have many distinct advantageous design features such as a superior hull design and underwater manoeuvrability; quieting techniques; long range sonar and torpedo. These features give these submarines a combat capability commensurate with the cost of acquisition.

All developments having a bearing on the security of the country and its assets are kept under constant observation by Government and appropriate counter-measures are initiated from time to time, to maintain defence preparedness. The Indian Navy is also re-equipped and modernised in keeping with the emerging threat perceptions.

FIR filed by CBI in HDW submarine purchase

1597. PROF. RAM KAPSE:
SHRI AMAR SINGH:

Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is fact that the FIR filed by CBI in March 1990 in the matter of purchase of HDW submarine by India has been pending without further action;

(b) if so, the details of the case;

(c) the reasons for pendency; and

(d) by when the follow-up action would be initiated?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI N.V.N. SOMU): (a) and (b) No, Sir. It is not correct to say that the matter has been pending without further action. Both CBI and MOD have been monitoring the progress of the case quite vigorously.

(c) and (d) The progress of the case essentially depends on the cooperation of the foreign Governments over which CBI has not control, and, therefore, it is not possible to predict a time frame by which the investigations can be completed.

Pilferage of Titanium imported for ISRO

1598. DR. MOHAN BABU:
DR. ALLADI P. RAJKUMAR:

Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the containers in which Titanium was imported from a German firm for India's